

Ethics and Responsibility in Political Demagogy: A Conceptual Review and Practical Implications

Cici Kumalasari¹⁾, Muhammad David^{2*)}, Panggih Nur Adi³⁾, Siti Zahara Saragih⁴⁾

^{1,3,4)}Fakultas Keguruan Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas Labuhanbatu

²⁾Study Program Sistem Kelistrikan Kapal, Politeknik Pelayaran Malahayati

*Corresponding Author

Email: csari1454@gmail.com, muhammad.david@poltekpelaceh.ac.id*, panggi.s3@gmail.com,
Sitizaharasaragih@gmail.com

Abstract

This research examines ethics and responsibility in the practice of political demagogy with the aim of understanding the conceptual and practical impact of this phenomenon. Political demagogy is defined as a practice in which leaders use manipulative rhetoric to influence the masses in favour of specific political interests. This research found that demagogy is characterised by emotional manipulation, distortion of facts, and populist rhetoric that exacerbates the polarisation of society. From an ethical perspective, demagogy violates the principles of honesty and transparency and exploits public fear and discontent, which can undermine trust in democratic institutions and increase social instability. The responsibility of political leaders is crucial in this context. Leaders are expected to maintain integrity, put the public interest first, and encourage constructive dialogue, as well as protect democratic institutions from the damage caused by demagogy. The research also identifies several practical steps needed, including improved political education and media literacy, as well as strengthened regulation against disinformation. Overall, this research emphasises the importance of a deep understanding of ethics and responsibility in politics to prevent the misuse of demagogy and ensure the health of democracy. With a comprehensive approach, society can be protected from the negative impacts of demagogy, and democracy can be better sustained.

Keywords: Ethics, Responsibility, Political Demagogy

INTRODUCTION

In this modern era, politics has become a highly dynamic and complex arena. One prominent phenomenon in contemporary political discourse is the rise of demagogy, a practice in which political leaders use populist and manipulative rhetoric to influence the masses, often with disregard for ethical principles and responsibility. This phenomenon not only challenges democratic norms, but also raises profound questions about morality in political leadership.

Demagogy, historically, has been an effective tool for leaders to gain popular support by playing on emotions, prejudices, and fears (Lawler McDonough, M: 2018). However, the use of demagogy often involves the distortion of facts, the spread of disinformation, and the polarization of society. This has serious consequences for the health of democracy, the integrity of political institutions, and social cohesion.

Demagogy is a concept that refers to a political practice in which a leader or group uses manipulative rhetoric to win support from the public (Steudeman, M. J: 2019). Typically, demagogy involves the use of strategies that capitalize on people's discontent, fears, or prejudices to achieve specific political goals. In this context, demagogic leaders often sacrifice truth, justice, and ethical principles in favor of their personal or group interests.

In history, there are many examples of leaders using demagogic tactics to gain or maintain power. From classical figures such as Julius Caesar to modern leaders such as Adolf Hitler, the use of demagogy has proven to be effective, but it has also brought great destructive impact. In today's digital age, demagogy takes on new forms through social media, where

information can be quickly disseminated without verification, reinforcing manipulative and often harmful narratives (Moravčíková, E: 2020).

One of the main issues that arise in the study of demagoguery is the question of ethics. Are demagogic actions justifiable in a political context? How do we define ethical boundaries in political practices that involve manipulating the masses? In political philosophy, ethics is concerned with moral principles that should be the basis of any political action. However, in practice, these principles are often violated in an attempt to achieve certain political goals.

It is important to note that while demagoguery can be effective in the short term, its long-term impact on political ethics and public morality can be devastating (Rubin, L. G: 2018). When leaders use demagogic tactics, they risk undermining public trust in democratic institutions, eroding mutual trust between citizens, and exacerbating social divisions (Pabst, A: 2019). In this context, the moral responsibility of a political leader is to maintain integrity and honesty in their political communication.

The responsibility of a political leader in the context of demagoguery is not only limited to their personal or group interests, but also includes the obligation to protect and strengthen the moral and ethical foundations of society. Political leaders have a significant influence on public opinion and policy formation, therefore they have a great responsibility to ensure that their actions do not harm society or undermine basic democratic values (Wlezien: 2020., Hammond, K. R: 2019).

In situations where demagoguery is used as a political tool, the responsibility of leaders is to resist the temptation of using manipulative tactics and instead promote honest, open, and fact-based dialog. This is important to maintain the integrity of the democratic process and ensure that the resulting policies truly reflect the interests and values of society as a whole (Huberts: 2018; Müller: 2021).

The use of demagoguery in politics has far-reaching practical implications. First, demagoguery can exacerbate political polarization, where society splits into conflicting groups based on manipulative populist rhetoric (Cohen: 2019). This polarization can result in political and social instability, and hinder the state's ability to effectively address complex challenges.

Second, demagoguery can undermine public trust in democratic institutions (Pabst: 2019; Skinnell: 2019). When leaders use manipulative rhetoric, they can lower the level of public trust in the government, the justice system, and the media, all of which are important pillars of a healthy democracy. This distrust can lead to decreased political participation, apathy, and ultimately, delegitimization of the political system as a whole.

Third, in the long run, demagoguery can erode ethical and moral norms in society (Demenchonok: 2018., Steudeman: 2019). When political leaders use unethical tactics, it can signal to society that norms can be ignored or deemphasized in order to achieve certain goals. As a result, society may become more permissive of unethical actions, both in the political context and in everyday life.

The study of ethics and responsibility in political demagoguery is particularly relevant in the context of contemporary politics. In many countries, we are witnessing an increase in populist and manipulative rhetoric that is often accompanied by the spread of disinformation. This phenomenon is not only happening in countries that are newly developing democracies, but also in countries with established democratic traditions.

This study is important to understand how and why demagoguery arises, and how society and political leaders can deal with it. By understanding the ethics and responsibilities in demagoguery, we can develop strategies to protect democratic values, strengthen political integrity, and promote healthy dialog in the political process.

Political demagoguery is a complex phenomenon and fraught with ethical dilemmas (Macrine: 2020). While it can be used as an effective tool to achieve short-term political goals,

its long-term impact on democracy, public trust and ethical norms is devastating. Therefore, it is important for political leaders to realize their responsibility in maintaining moral and ethical integrity in their actions.

Through this study, we can better understand the ethical implications of political demagoguery and the importance of responsibility in leadership. As such, society can be more aware of the threats posed by demagoguery and be better equipped to defend democratic values and moral integrity in political life.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research uses a qualitative approach with a literature review method to analyze ethics and responsibility in political demagoguery. This approach was chosen because the main objective of the research was to understand the theoretical concepts and practical implications of political demagoguery, as well as explore the views of experts and previous researchers on this topic.

Data was collected from various sources, including books, scholarly journals, articles and other academic documents relevant to the themes of political demagoguery, ethics in politics and the responsibilities of political leaders. The main focus was on literature that discusses the practice of demagoguery in the context of modern politics, and how ethics and responsibility are conceptualized in these studies.

Data analysis involved identifying key themes that emerged from the literature, then integrating these findings to build a conceptual framework that can be used to understand the dynamics of ethics and responsibility in political demagoguery. In addition, this research also compares various views to get a more comprehensive and critical picture.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This research aims to analyze ethics and responsibility in the practice of political demagoguery, focusing on its conceptual and practical implications. Based on the literature analysis conducted, the results of this study are divided into several main sections, namely the definition and characteristics of political demagoguery, ethical studies in demagoguery, the responsibilities of political leaders in the use of demagoguery, and the impact of demagoguery on democratic institutions and society.

Definition and Characteristics of Political Demagoguery

Political demagoguery is defined as a practice in which political leaders or groups use manipulative rhetoric to influence the masses in favor of their political interests (Miklaszewska: 2019). This practice often involves exploiting public emotions, fears and prejudices to gain political support.

From the results of the study, political demagoguery has several main characteristics: First, Emotional Manipulation: Demagogue leaders use public emotions, such as fear, anger, and hatred, to direct mass opinion and behavior (Patapan: 2019., Petersen: 2020). A classic example is the use of controversial issues that divide people, such as ethnic identity, religion, or extreme nationalism. Second, Distortion of Facts and Disinformation: One of the hallmarks of demagoguery is the dissemination of false or misleading information. Demagogues often manipulate facts or spread lies to support their agenda (Giereł-Klimaszewska, K: 2019). This is done to create a narrative that favors their political position, even if it does not correspond to reality.

Third, Populist Rhetoric: Demagogues usually adopt populist rhetoric, where they claim to represent “the people” against “elites” or groups perceived as enemies (Just, M., & Crigler, A: 2020). In this context, they often simplify complex issues and offer solutions that seem easy, but are often unrealistic or dangerous.

Fourth, the Polarization of Society: Political demagoguery tends to exacerbate polarization in society (Calhoun, et al: 2022). By capitalizing on social, cultural, or economic differences, demagogue leaders create a widening gap between different groups, which can ultimately lead to greater social conflict.

Ethical Studies in Political Demagoguery

In ethical studies, political demagoguery is seen as a problematic practice. It is associated with attempts to violate the Principle of Truth. Political ethics emphasizes the importance of honesty and transparency in political communication (Bishop: 2024). However, demagoguery inherently involves spreading lies or misleading information, which clearly contradicts these ethical principles.

Next, Exploitation of Fear and Discontent. Demagoguery capitalizes on public discontent and fear in unethical ways (Lowenthal: 2021). Rather than offering constructive solutions, demagogues exacerbate these fears for political gain, which can be detrimental to the overall well-being of society.

In addition, it undermines public trust. The use of demagoguery can undermine public trust in democratic institutions. When political leaders use manipulative tactics, it can make people cynical about politics and reluctant to participate in the democratic process (Van Reybrouck: 2018).

Also, Encouraging Social Divisions. From an ethical standpoint, it is important for political leaders to promote unity and harmony in society. However, demagoguery encourages division and conflict by accentuating differences and building an “us against them” narrative.

Responsibilities of Political Leaders in Demagoguery

This research found that political leaders have a great responsibility in maintaining ethics and morality in political practice. Some of the responsibilities that leaders must fulfill in the context of demagoguery are Maintaining Integrity and Honesty. Political leaders must maintain integrity by avoiding the dissemination of false or misleading information (Froehlich: 2019., Verstraete: 2022)). They have a moral responsibility to communicate honestly and transparently to the public.

Another important point is Prioritizing the Public Interest: A leader's primary responsibility is to serve the public interest, not exploit fears or prejudices for personal political gain. In this context, leaders should avoid the use of demagoguery that can harm society in the long run. This includes encouraging constructive dialogue. Political leaders should act as facilitators of constructive and inclusive dialogue, not as instigators of polarization. They have a responsibility to promote healthy and fact-based discussions on complex issues.

The above is a form of protection for Democratic Institutions. Leaders must safeguard and protect democratic institutions from damage caused by demagogic tactics. This includes protecting press freedom, judicial independence, and human rights.

The Impact of Demagoguery on Democratic Institutions and Society

The use of demagoguery in politics has a significant impact on democratic institutions and society as a whole (Percy, M., & Clabough, J: 2018). This research identifies several impacts, including the Erosion of Trust in Democratic Institutions. One of the most damaging impacts of demagoguery is the erosion of public trust in democratic institutions. When leaders use manipulative rhetoric, people begin to doubt the credibility of the government, the justice system, and the media (Macagno, F: 2022). This distrust can lead to political apathy, where citizens become less interested in participating in the democratic process.

The above allows for Deep Political Polarization. Demagoguery exacerbates political polarization by creating deepening divisions between societal groups (Somer, M., & McCoy, J: 2018). This polarization can hinder effective policymaking, as it becomes increasingly difficult for different parties to work together and reach consensus.

This leads to social and political instability. With increased polarization, demagoguery can lead to social and political instability (Lawler McDonough, M. 2018). Inter-group conflicts fueled by demagogic rhetoric can lead to riots, protests, or even violence, all of which threaten the stability of the country.

In addition, it decreases the quality of public policy. When demagoguery dominates political discourse, the quality of public policy tends to decline (Van Heertum, R: 2021). This is due to the focus on populist issues that are often not based on evidence or in-depth analysis. The resulting policies may be reactive and short-term, without considering the long-term impact on society.

Finally, the Degradation of Ethical Norms in Society. The use of demagoguery by political leaders can result in the degradation of ethical norms in society (Skinnell, R: 2019). When people see that their leaders are using unethical tactics, it can normalize similar behavior at the societal level, thus eroding the moral values that underpin shared life.

Case Study: The Use of Demagoguery in Contemporary Politics

This research also reviews several case studies to illustrate how demagoguery is used in contemporary politics. For example, in some countries, populist leaders have managed to gain power by exploiting public dissatisfaction with the economy, immigration, or identity issues (Guriev, S., & Papaioannou, E: 2022). They use rhetoric that divides society and creates a common enemy to rally support. While successful in the short term, this approach often leaves deep damage to the social and political fabric of the country.

Another example is the use of social media as a tool to spread demagoguery. In the digital age, platforms such as Facebook and Twitter have become prime terrains for the dissemination of manipulative and often false information. Demagogue leaders use these platforms to mobilize support quickly, but without considering the negative impact of the disinformation they spread (Szakacs, J., & Bogner, E: 2021).

Practical Implications and Recommendations

Based on the findings of this research, there are several important practical implications for political leaders, policy makers, and the community, including: First, Improved Political Education and Media Literacy. It is important for people to have a better understanding of politics and media literacy so that they are not easily swayed by demagogic rhetoric. Educational programs that focus on political ethics, being critical of information, and the ability to recognize disinformation should be promoted (Phippen, A, et al: 2021). Second, Stronger Regulation of Disinformation. Governments and social media platforms should work together to address the problem of disinformation spreading through demagoguery. Stricter regulations, along with initiatives to increase the transparency of social media algorithms, can help minimize the negative impact of online demagoguery (George, A. S: 2024).

Finally, Promotion of Ethics in Leadership. Political leaders should be encouraged to commit to ethical principles in all aspects of their activities. This includes rejecting the use of demagogic tactics, as well as prioritizing integrity, transparency and social responsibility in political decision-making (Davis, A.: 2020).

CONCLUSION

This research concludes that political demagoguery is a very problematic practice because it has the potential to damage political ethics, disrupt social stability, and weaken democratic institutions. Demagoguery is characterized by emotional manipulation, distortion of facts, and populist rhetoric that tends to divide society. This practice not only violates basic ethical principles, such as honesty and transparency, but also has long-term negative impacts, including the erosion of public trust in democratic institutions, deepening political polarization, and social instability.

The responsibility of political leaders in this context is immense. They must maintain integrity, prioritize the public interest, and promote constructive dialogue. Leaders who adhere to ethics have an important role to play in protecting democratic institutions from the damage caused by demagoguery. They should also avoid using rhetoric that exacerbates social divisions and degrades the quality of public policy.

The research also highlights the need for practical measures to mitigate the negative impact of demagoguery, such as improved political education and media literacy, as well as stricter regulation of the spread of disinformation. Only through this comprehensive approach can society be protected from the dangers of demagoguery, and democracy be better defended.

Overall, this study emphasizes that a deeper understanding of ethics and responsibility in politics is essential to prevent the misuse of demagoguery and ensure the sustainability of a healthy and well-functioning democracy.

REFERENCES

- Bishop, R. (2024). How to Echo: Knowing Things Well in a Polarized World. *Experiments in Democracy*, 1(1), 33-55.
- Cohen, J. L. (2019). Populism and the Politics of Resentment. *Jus Cogens*, 1, 5-39.
- Calhoun, C., Gaonkar, D. P., & Taylor, C. (2022). *Degenerations of democracy*. Harvard University Press.
- Davis, A. (2020). Media, democracy and social change: Re-imagining political communications.
- Demenchonok, E. (2018). Michel Foucault's Theory of Practices of the Self and the Quest for a New Philosophical Anthropology. *Value Inquiry Book Series*, 316.
- Froehlich, T. J. (2019). The role of pseudo-cognitive authorities and self-deception in the dissemination of fake news. *Open Information Science*, 3(1), 115-136.
- George, A. S. (2024). Weaponizing WhatsApp: Organized Propaganda and the Erosion of Democratic Discourse in India. *Partners Universal Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 1(1), 22-36.
- Giereło-Klimaszewska, K. (2019). Political Fact-Checking in the Czech Republic on the Example of demagog. cz and manipulatori. cz Portals. *Mediatization Studies*, 3.
- Guriev, S., & Papaioannou, E. (2022). The political economy of populism. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 60(3), 753-832.
- Hammond, K. R. (2019). Toward increasing competence of thought in public policy formation. In *Judgement And Decision* (pp. 11-32). Routledge.
- Huberts, L. W. (2018). Integrity: What it is and Why it is Important. *Public Integrity*, 20(sup1), S18-S32.
- Just, M., & Crigler, A. (2020, May). Populism: The Achilles heel of democracy. In *Perspectives on Populism and the Media* (pp. 125-144). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.

- Lawler McDonough, M. (2018). The evolution of demagoguery: An updated understanding of demagogic rhetoric as interactive and ongoing. *Communication Quarterly*, 66(2), 138-156.
- Lowenthal, L., & Guterman, N. (2021). *Prophets of deceit: A study of the techniques of the American agitator*. Verso Books.
- Macagno, F. (2022). Argumentation profiles and the manipulation of common ground. The arguments of populist leaders on Twitter. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 191, 67-82.
- Macrine, S. L. (Ed.). (2020). *Critical pedagogy in uncertain times: Hope and possibilities*. Springer Nature.
- Miklaszewska, J. (2019). Freedom of speech in modern political culture. *AVANT. Pismo Awangardy Filozoficzno-Naukowej*, (1), 77-88.
- Moravčíková, E. (2020). Media manipulation and propaganda in the post-truth era. *Media Literacy and Academic Research*, 3(2), 23-37.
- Pabst, A. (2019). *The demons of liberal democracy*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Petersen, M. B. (2020). The evolutionary psychology of mass mobilization: How disinformation and demagogues coordinate rather than manipulate. *Current opinion in psychology*, 35, 71-75.
- Patapan, H. (2019). On populists and demagogues. *Canadian Journal of Political Science/Revue canadienne de science politique*, 52(4), 743-759.
- Pearcy, M., & Clabough, J. (2018). Demagogues and the “Guardrails of Democracy”. *Social Studies Research and Practice*, 13(3), 345-356.
- Phippen, A., Bond, E., & Buck, E. (2021). Effective strategies for information literacy education: combatting ‘fake news’ and empowering critical thinking. In *Future Directions in Digital Information* (pp. 39-53). Chandos Publishing.
- Rubin, L. G. (2018). Demagoguery and the Decline of Middle-Class Republicanism: Aristotle on the Trump Phenomenon. *Trump and Political Philosophy: Leadership, Statesmanship, and Tyranny*, 51-73.
- Skinnell, R. (2019). Using democracy against itself: Demagogic rhetoric as an attack on democratic institutions. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 49(3), 248-263.
- Steudeman, M. J. (2019). Rethinking rhetorical education in times of demagoguery. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 49(3), 297-314.
- Somer, M., & McCoy, J. (2018). Déjà vu? Polarization and endangered democracies in the 21st century. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 62(1), 3-15.
- Szakacs, J., & Bogner, E. (2021). The impact of disinformation campaigns about migrants and minority groups in the EU. Policy Department for External Relations Directorate General for External Policies of the Union. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/meetdocs/2014_2019/plmrep/COMMITTEES/INGE/DV/2021/07-12/IDADisinformation_migrant_minorities_EN.pdf.
- Pearcy, M., & Clabough, J. (2018). Demagogues and the “Guardrails of Democracy”. *Social Studies Research and Practice*, 13(3), 345-356.
- Van Heertum, R. (2021). Neoliberalism, neopopulism, and democracy in decline: The university under attack on multiple fronts. Whiteness, power, and resisting change in US higher education: A peculiar institution, 103-127.
- Verstraete, M., Bambauer, J. R., & Bambauer, D. E. (2022). Identifying and countering fake news. *Hastings LJ*, 73, 821.
- Wlezien, C., & Soroka, S. N. (2020). Political institutions and the opinion-policy link. In *Assessing Political Representation in Europe* (pp. 191-216). Routledge.