

Legal Study Of Perpetrators Of The Criminal Act Of Distributing Video Calls Containing Pornographic Content Through The Social Media Application Facebook From The Perspective Of The It Law (Decision Number: 166/Pid.B/2024/Pn-Rhl)

Ria Karisma¹⁾, Risdalina²⁾, Indra Kumalasari M³⁾
^{1,2,3)}Faculty of Law, Labuhanbatu University

*Corresponding Author
Email: risdalinasiregar@gmail.com

Abstract

This study aims to understand the Legal Study of Criminal Acts of Spreading Pornographic Video Calls Through the Facebook Social Media Application in the Perspective of the ITE Law (Decision Number: 166/Pid.B/2024/Pn-Rhl). This study uses a normative legal research method using a statutory approach and a conceptual approach. Discussion Results: Criminal Liability for Perpetrators of Criminal Acts of Spreading Pornographic Video Calls Through the Facebook Social Media Application In Decision Number: 166/PID.B/2024/PN-RHL, namely in accordance with Article 45 Paragraph (1) in conjunction with Article 27 Paragraph (1) of Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions and Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning Criminal Procedure Law, the defendant was sentenced to imprisonment for 2 (two) years and a fine of Rp. 300,000,000.00 (three hundred million rupiah) (two) and was charged with paying court costs of Rp. 5,000.00 (five thousand rupiah). Whereas Article 27 paragraph (1) formulates: "Any person who intentionally and without the right distributes and/or transmits and/or makes accessible Electronic Information and/or Electronic Documents that have content that violates morality."

Keywords: *Legal Study, Perpetrators, Criminal Acts;*

INTRODUCTION

(SHELEMO, 2023) Sophistication technology bring benefit big for progress of the times. With technology makes things very easy man For each other exchange information . Both around world news , advertising , buying and selling , and information family .(19103040062_BAB-I_IV-Atau-V_DAFTAR-PUSTAKA.Pdf, n.d.) Even with sophisticated equipment technology moment this , make many famous and creative people in their fields . Sophistication technology is the demands of the times, where is this era? all more made easy in access . Human No Again required read book , or interact with method meet with sophistication said , someone can interact and send message short only that's all second reaches the recipient . So is the news that is shared Good in a way national and international . (Romandanti & Sahid, 2023) From the place We For until know information human hemisphere can direct accept accurate information about a thing . However No can denied with progress technology moment This No only bring in things positive in life human . Sophistication technology can also bring effect negative for human beings . Where, some individuals in several parts of the world use access convenience in technology This as event For looking for money. There is with method cheat or spread hoax , blackmailing people, defaming Name Good someone who things This clear enter category act criminal . Action criminal acts that use sophistication technology in the mode in Indonesia has been set up based on Constitution Number 1 of 2024 concerning Information and Electronic Transactions . (Rakhmawati et al., 2022) Law This Already several times revision from start Constitution Number 11 of 2008, up to Constitution Number 19 of 2016 and currently This Constitution the latest that is Constitution Number 1 of 2024 concerning Information and Electronic Transactions

. (Mulia, 2016) Law This experience several times revision with objective For to complete and perfect The old law .

One of act frequent crimes happen moment This that is action with method spread content in the form of *Video Call* between the victim and the perpetrator in it Loaded Pornography and violation decency Through Facebook Social Media Application (Nurul Hakiki Nofi Syahfani, 2021) . Its purpose nothing else for to pollute Name both victims , causing shame , so perpetrator can blackmail the victim to give a number of the money up to the perpetrator stop do it his actions . This is naturally based on a number of matter among others: want get profit , pain heart to the victim, reply revenge and others .

(Udayana et al., 2022) With many types of crime like This Already appropriate If government take policy For apply The law that regulates about act crimes committed with use internet network and social media applications as protection law for the Community.

Social media terms arranged from two words, namely "media" and " social ". "Media" is interpreted as tool communication (Amanda et al., 2024). While the word " social " is interpreted as fact social that every individual do action that gives contribution to society . Statement This confirm that in fact , the media and all device soft is “ social ” or in meaning that both of them is product from social processes .

Antony Mayfield in Fahlepi Roma Doni , gave definition of social media as a medium where its users with easy participate in it , share and create messages , including blogs, networks social media , online wikis/ encyclopedias , virtual forums and including virtual worlds. Social media in its use use technology web -based that transforms communication become an interactive dialogue . (Sarumaha, 2022) Some popular social media sites Now This including : Whatsapp , BBM, Facebook, Youtube , Twitter, Wikipedia, Blog, etc. One of them act criminal punishment perpetrator crime in writing This that is use social media applications namely facebook .

(Siregar & Sihite, 2020) Functions /Purposes of Social Media according to Arum Wahyuni Purbohastuti is one of them that is support democratization knowledge and also information . Transforming man from user Contents message become maker message That Alone . While Facebook is a social networking website social where the users are can join in community like city , work , school and area For do connection and interaction with other people.

So be a writer conclude that social media facebook is tool communication through network social Where the users are can join in a community the in do interaction with other people .

With the amount crime online using social media as tool connecting communication between the perpetrator and the victim , so that felt need For do research entitled " Legal Study on Perpetrator Action Criminal Spreader of Video Calls with Loads Pornography Through Facebook Social Media Application in "Perspective of the ITE Law" (Decision) Number : 166/PID.B/2024/PN-RHL). Based on Description above , then writer formulate problem about : How Accountability Criminal Against the perpetrators of the crime of spreading pornographic video calls via the Facebook social media application in the decision number: 166/PID.B/2024/PN-RHL?

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a normative legal research method. Normative legal research is researching law from an internal perspective with the object of research being legal norms. In other words, legal research that places law as a building of a norm system. The norm system in question is regarding the principles, norms, rules of laws and regulations, court decisions, agreements and doctrines (teachings). This study uses a legislative approach and a conceptual approach . The legislative approach is used to get an overview of the Legal Study of Criminal

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Case Position

This case starting at an unremembered time in September 2023, the Defendant began to get to know the Victim Witness until finally on Monday, October 2, 2023, the Defendant dated the Victim Witness. During the dating, at an unremembered time on Thursday in November 2023 at around 01.46 WIB, the Defendant made a video call with the Victim Witness, in which the Defendant said to the Victim Witness "Have we been together for a long time?" The Victim Witness replied "Yes, it's been a long time too, bro" to which the Defendant replied "Take off your clothes, take off your bra, take off your breasts" then the Victim Witness took off her clothes and bra so that she was no longer wearing clothes. Seeing that the Victim Witness was no longer wearing clothes, the Defendant, without the Victim Witness's knowledge, immediately recorded the screen during the video call with the Victim Witness for a duration of 1 (one) minute 33 (thirty three) seconds and saved the screen recording on his Oppo A18 cellphone. That furthermore, at a time that can no longer be remembered on Saturday, November 2023 at approximately 03.25 WIB, the Defendant again made a video call with the Victim Witness. During the video call, the Defendant said to the Victim Witness "I want to" to which the Victim Witness replied "Yes, continue" to which the Defendant replied "Take off your clothes, put your hand in your vagina, like usual" after that the Victim Witness took off her clothes, bra and pants until the Victim Witness was no longer wearing clothes, then the Defendant immediately recorded the screen without the Victim Witness' knowledge for a duration of 2 (two) minutes 17 (seventeen) seconds and saved the results of the screen recording on her Oppo A18 cellphone.

That then, at an unremembered time in December 2023 at around 03.13 WIB, the Defendant again made a video call with the Victim Witness, in which video call, the Defendant said to the Victim Witness by saying "I want to" to which the Victim Witness replied "No, I'm menstruating" to which the Defendant replied "Seriously, I can't stand it anymore" finally the Victim Witness took off her clothes and bra until she was not wearing any clothes, then the Defendant made a screen recording for 3 (three) minutes 13 (thirteen) seconds without the Victim Witness' knowledge and saved the results of the screen recording on her Oppo A18 cellphone.

(Sujamawardi, 2018) That at an unremembered time in January 2024, after the divorce certificate of the Victim Witness and her husband was issued, the Victim Witness asked the Defendant to end their relationship. Due to being hurt by the Victim Witness's request, on Thursday, January 4, 2024 at around 22.00 WIB the Defendant who was at his house on Jalan Kabupaten Rokan Hilir, also disseminated the results of the screen recording he made during a video call with the Victim Witness and showing the Victim Witness' nudity in a state of not wearing a shirt and bra with a duration of 1 (one) minute 33 (thirty three) seconds on the Defendant's first alternative Facebook account named Iimsulaiman ID with the video link https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=pfbid05BqmR4Hy9e9PsMKxkoPsPBZXg3LoDxBB2zvd57b4TUHdBMKETAsDNKixFyUHNAWI&id=615539850400877&mibextid=UyTHkb and the second alternative Facebook account which also belongs to the Defendant with the name DeathNote ID with a video link https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=pfbid05BqmR4Hy9e9PsMKxkoPsPBZXg3LoDxBB2zvd57b4TUHdBMKETAsDNKixFyUHNAWI&id=615539850400877&mibextid=UyTH

kb&paipv=0&eav=AfY7tfxaJV0Ysxf84Doi5bZs9OC6a9UndE3gFo7ZVaowSNnfoB2O5pazW5ZXom2riBI&_rdr then the next day on Friday, January 5, 2023 at around 06.00 WIB, Witness Kusmawati opened a WhatsApp message on her cellphone and there was a message from the Defendant in the form of a screenshot of a Facebook post with the account limsulaiman ID who uploaded a video recording of the Witness's screen while the victim was not dressed between the Defendant and the Victim Witness, then Witness Kusmawati asked the Victim Witness by saying "What is this?" The Victim Witness answered while crying by saying "Ooh it's been posted apparently" and at around 08.46 WIB, Witness I opened his Facebook account and at that time Witness I saw on his Facebook account homepage a video showing the face of the Victim Witness naked while masturbating and there was the voice of the Defendant saying "Hurry up and go in and out of his hands" lasting 1 (one) minute 33 (thirty three) and had been posted approximately 10 (ten) hours ago. Saski I who saw this, immediately informed the Victim Witness and the Victim Witness who knew about this immediately went to meet the Defendant who was at his house and asked the Defendant to delete the video post of himself showing nudity, but the Defendant refused. Not happy with the Defendant's actions, the Victim Witness took a screenshot of the screen showing the Defendant uploading a video of himself with the following details:

- 1 (one) Screenshot_2024119_153032_Facebook;
- 1 (one) Screenshot_20240119_153425_Facebook;
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_153454_Facebook
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_172145_Facebook;
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_172236_Facebook ;
- 1 (one) Screen_Recording_20240105_190012_Facebook.mp4.

Which all the screenshots and screen recordings above use the Samsung Galaxy A52 SM-A525F cellphone belonging to the victim witness and reported the defendant's actions to the Rokan Hilir Police. That based on the statement of Expert Muhammad Salahudin Manggalanny, ST, MM, M.Kom, a test was carried out on 1 (one) black flash disk, YOURZ brand 16Gb, which contained:

- 1 (one) Screenshot_2024119_153032_Facebook;
- 1 (one) Screenshot_20240119_153425_Facebook; M
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_153454_Facebook;
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_172145_Facebook;
- 1 (one) screenshot_20240119_172236_Facebook;
- and 1 (one) Screen_Recording_20240105_190012_Facebook.mp4

which is the whole result of extraction according to the digital dorensik process procedure by the Investigator in the Samsung Galaxy A52 SM-A525F Mobile Phone, all of the above were examined methodologically, the screenshot results with CONCLUSION: the screenshot results in the form of digital image files with .jpg format are ORIGINAL authentic, there is no manipulation in any form and the information content in the image is true, a display on Facebook social media that has existed or has occurred. And the screen recording results obtained CONCLUSION: stream size, duration, speed and number of video frame views per second are consistent with the duration, speed and number of audio frame views per second on each channel (R/L), indicating file integrity and no changes, additions and/or reductions in video files(Undang et al., 2025).

That as a result of the Defendant's actions in recording the screen without the permission of the Victim Witness when making a video call with the Victim Witness showing the Victim Witness in a state of undress and distributing the video via the Defendant's Facebook account, it has been viewed 347 (three hundred and forty seven) times. T(Pokhrel, 2024)he Defendant's actions as regulated and threatened with criminal penalties in Article 45 Paragraph (1) Jo Article

27 Paragraph (1) of Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning the Second Amendment to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Information and Electronic Transactions.

Claims

1. Declaring that the Defendant IIM SULAIMAN alias IIM alias UAK Bin M. YACOB ASHARI has been proven legally and convincingly to have committed the crime of "Producing, making, reproducing, duplicating, distributing, broadcasting, importing, exporting, offering, selling, renting, or providing pornography that explicitly contains sexual intercourse, including deviant sexual intercourse, sexual violence, masturbation, nudity or displays that give the impression of nudity, genitals, or child pornography" in violation of Article 29 in conjunction with Article 4 Paragraph (1) of Law Number 44 of 2008 concerning Pornography as referred to in the First Alternative Charge of the Public Prosecutor;
 2. Imposing a criminal sentence on the Defendant IIM SULAIMAN alias IIM alias UAK Bin M. YACOB ASHARI in the form of imprisonment for 2 (two) years and a fine of Rp. 300,000,000. (three hundred million rupiah) subsidiary imprisonment for 2 (two) months minus the time the Defendant is in temporary detention with the order that the Defendant remain in detention;
 3. Stating evidence in the form of:
 - 1 (one) YOURZ brand 16GB flash disk in black and gold containing 12 (twelve) pieces:
 1. Screenshot_20240119_153032_Facebook, Screenshot_20240119_153425_Facebook,
 2. Screenshot_20240119_153454_Facebook, Screenshot_20240119_172145_Facebook,
 3. Screenshot_20240119_172236_Facebook, Screenshot_20240119_184138_Messenger,
 4. Screenshot_20240119_184149_Messenger, Screenshot_20240119_184252_Messenger,
 5. Screenshot_20240119_184207_Messenger, Screenshot_20240131_125249_Messenger,
 6. Screenshot_20240131_125312_Messenger
 7. Screenshot_20240131_125501_WhatsApp and 1 (one) Screenrecording Screen_Recording_20240105_190012_Facebook;
 - 1 (one) Telkomsel Simpati SIM card with number 081261551002;
 - 3 (three) yellow, brown and black notebooks containing notes on 5 (five) emails , namely :
 - a. iimmobileid@gmail.com,
 - b. iimsulaimanmobileid@gmail.com,
 - c. iimspyid@gmail.com,
 - d. iimsulaimanknight@gmail.com,
 - e. iimsulaimanpunk@gmail.com and
 - 4 (four) pieces account facebook on behalf of Iimsulaiiman ID, on behalf of Korbani, on behalf of IimSulaiman ID, on behalf of DeathNote ID;
- Confiscated For destroyed .
4. Determine that the Defendant IIM SULAIMAN alias IIM alias UAK Bin M. YACOB ASHARI pays court costs of Rp. 2,000,- (two thousand rupiah);

To judge

1. Declaring that the Defendant Iim Sulaiman alias Iim alias Uak Bin M. Yacob Ashari has been proven legally and convincingly guilty of committing a criminal act by intentionally and without the right to broadcast Electronic Documents containing content that violates morality for public knowledge, as in the second alternative charge;
2. Sentencing the Defendant to imprisonment for 2 (two) years and a fine of Rp. 300,000,000.00 (three hundred million rupiah) with the provision that if the fine is not paid it will be replaced with imprisonment for 2 (two) months;

3. Determine that the period of arrest and detention that the Defendant has undergone is deducted entirely from the sentence imposed; Determine that the Defendant remains in detention;
4. Establishing evidence in the form of:
 - 1 (one) Yourz brand flash disk, 16 GB, black and gold;
 - 1 (one) Simpati Telkomsel SIM card with number 081261551002;
 - 3 (three) notebooks belonging to the Defendant, yellow, brown and black;
 - 5 (five) emails, namely:
 - a. immobileid@gmail.com;
 - b. iimsulaimanmobileid@gmail.com;
 - c. iimspvid@gmail.com;
 - d. iimsulaimanknight@gmail.com;
 - e. iimsulaimanpunk@gmail.com;
 - 4 (four) Facebook accounts in the names of Iimsulaiman ID, Korbani, IimSulaiman ID, and DeathNote ID;
 - Screenshot 20240119_153032_Facebook;
 - Screenshot_20240119_153425_Facebook;
 - Screenshot_20240119_153454_Facebook;
 - Screenshot_20240119_172145_Facebook;
 - Screenshot_20240119_172236_Facebook;
 - Screenshot_20240119_184138_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240119_184149_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240119_184207_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240119_184252_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240131_125249_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240131_125312_Messenger;
 - Screenshot_20240131_125501_WhatsApp;
 - Screen_Recording_20240105_190012_Facebook;

Seized for destruction;

5. Charge the Defendant with paying court costs amounting to Rp. 5,000.00 (five thousand rupiah);

Author's Conclusion: Criminal acts in the form of distributing Video Calls containing Pornography or immoral content have clearly violated the law, where the elements have been fulfilled. The act of distributing Video Calls containing Pornography or immoral content through the Facebook Social Media Application violates the provisions of the current legislation, namely Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1 of 2024 concerning the second amendment to Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 11 of 2008 concerning Information and Electronic Transactions . That Article 45 Paragraph (1) Jo Article 27 Paragraph (1) of Law Number 1 of 2024, formulates elements act criminal the is as following :

1. Every person;

The element of each person is fulfilled simply by the existence of a legal subject who is brought to trial and the purpose of considering this element is to avoid the occurrence of *this persona error*. That the legal subject who was brought to trial by the Public Prosecutor because he was accused of committing a criminal act *in the a quo case* , namely the Defendant named Iim Sulaiman alias Iim alias Uak Bin M. Yacob Ashari who has confirmed his identity as stated in the Public Prosecutor's indictment and during the trial the Witnesses stated that the Defendant is the person referred to in the Public Prosecutor's indictment. So that there is no error *in persona* that was brought to trial, therefore the element everyone is declared has fulfilled ;

2. Intentionally and without authorization broadcasting, displaying, distributing, transmitting and/or making accessible Electronic Information and/or Electronic Documents that contain content that violates morality for public knowledge;

That intent has several forms/characteristics, namely:

- Intention as an intention (*opzet als oogmerk*) means that the occurrence of a certain action or consequence (in accordance with the formulation of criminal law) is truly a manifestation of the intention or goal and knowledge of the perpetrator;
- Intention with definite awareness or necessity (*opzet bij zekerheids of nood zakelijkheids bewustzijn*), meaning that what is relied on is how far the perpetrator's knowledge or awareness of the action and its consequences is one of the elements of a crime that has occurred;
- Intention with awareness of the possibility (*dolus eventualis*) means that what is relied on is the extent of the perpetrator's knowledge or awareness of the prohibited act and consequences (along with other acts or consequences) that might occur;

Without rights is the same as having no rights or authority attached to a person to carry out an act according to the law or not having permission from an authorized official as determined by law;

(Adolph, 2016) To strengthen the evidence in criminal cases related to Pornography and the ITE Law, in such cases, expert witnesses who are competent in their fields are needed. Based on the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change second On the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions. The duties and responsibilities of the Expert position are in accordance with the scope, function and authority of ID-SIRTII/CC in the Regulation of the Minister of Communication and Information of the Republic of Indonesia Number 16/PER/M.KOMINFO/10/2010 concerning Amendments to the Regulation of the Minister of Communication and Information Number 26/PER/M.KOMINFO/5/2007 concerning Security of Utilization of Internet Protocol-Based Telecommunications Networks, which among others are to "support the law enforcement process", including as an Expert related to Cyber Crime, Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 36 of 1999 concerning Telecommunications, Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1 of 2024 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions and Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19 of 2016 concerning amendments to Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions .

Accountability Criminal To Perpetrator Action Criminal Spreader of Video Calls with Loads Pornography Through Facebook Social Media Application In Ruling Number : 166/PID.B/2024/PN-RHL

Actions The defendant intentionally has upload video call recording between The defendant and the victim witness who showed the victim witness in condition without clothes and do activity groping breast as well as enter finger witness to the victim in genitals The victim's own witness on Thursday , January 4 2024, January 8 2024 and January 17 2024 on the 4 (four) Facebook accounts of the Defendant named Iimsulaiiman ID, DeathNote ID, IimSulaiman ID and Victims are clear is act crimes that have been harming others, where defendant with intentionally , and without right and also his authority broadcast , transmit , distribute , and create can accessible Electronic Information and/ or Electronic Documents in Electronic Systems in video call form loaded pornography which violates morality , then to him given threat criminal in accordance Constitution Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change Secondly , under the Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Information and Electronic Transactions . That because of that all over element from Article 45 Paragraph (1) in conjunction with Article 27 Paragraph (1) of the Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change Second on Constitution Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic

Information and Transactions have fulfilled, then Defendant must be stated has proven in a way valid and convincing do act criminal as charged in indictment alternative Secondly, during the trial, the Panel of Judges did not find existence things that can to abolish accountability criminal, good as reason justifier and/ or reason forgiving on action the accused, and Defendant capable responsible answer, then Defendant must stated guilty and sentenced criminal. Because of the threat criminal Article proven is cumulative (prison and fines), then to Defendant besides sentenced criminal prison was also sentenced criminal fine with provision if criminal fine No paid so replaced criminal confinement. So that based on Article 45 Paragraph (1) in conjunction with Article 27 Paragraph (1) of the Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change Second on Constitution Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions and the Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning Criminal Procedure Law defendant sentenced with punishment criminal prison for 2 (two) years and a fine an amount of Rp. 300,000,000.00 (three hundred million rupiah) (two) and charged For pay cost case an amount of Rp. 5,000.00 (five thousand rupiah).

That the punishment imposed more light from what has been regulated by law, as formulated in Article 45 paragraph (1): "Everyone who with intentionally and without right broadcast, display, distribute, transmit, and/ or make can accessible Electronic Information and/ or Electronic Documents that have infringing load decency For known general as meant in Article 27 paragraph (1) shall be punished with criminal imprisonment for a maximum of 6 (six) years and/ or a maximum fine of Rp1,000,000,000.00 (one million rupiah) billion rupiah." Different with Contents from demands prosecutor Where is the prosecutor? prosecutor general more use Article 29 Jo Article 4 Paragraph (1) Law Number 44 of 2008 concerning Pornography For to do demands to defendant. That Article 29 in conjunction with Article 4 paragraph (1) of the Law Number 44 of 2008 concerning Pornography arrange about threat criminal for people who produce, create, reproduce, distribute, and others pornography with Threat criminal minimum 6 months and maximum 12 years in prison a fine of at least Rp250 million and a maximum of Rp6 billion. However Because action defendant done with use access internet network and social media, then Already appropriate if used that is Constitution Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change Second on Constitution Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions and the Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning Criminal Procedure Law

CONCLUSION

Accountability Criminal To Perpetrator Action Criminal Spreader of Video Calls with Loads Pornography Through Facebook Social Media Application In Ruling Number : 166/PID.B/2024/PN-RHL, namely in accordance with Article 45 Paragraph (1) in conjunction with Article 27 Paragraph (1) of the Law Number 1 of 2024 concerning Change Second on Constitution Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions and the Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning Criminal Procedure Law defendant sentenced with punishment criminal prison for 2 (two) years and a fine an amount of Rp. 300,000,000.00 (three hundred million rupiah) (two) and charged For pay cost case amounting to Rp. 5,000.00 (five thousand rupiah). That in article 27 paragraph (1) formulates : "Everyone with intentionally and without right distribute and/ or transmit and/ or make can accessible Electronic Information and/ or Electronic Documents that have infringing load morality

REFERENCES

- 19103040062_BAB-I_IV-atau-V_DAFTAR-PUSTAKA.pdf. (n.d.).
- Adolph, R. (2016). 済無No Title No Title No Title.
- Amanda, S., Sijabat, U., & Lukitasari, D. (2024). *Konten Gambar dan Video Pornografi Deepfake Sebagai Suatu Bentuk Tindak Pidana Pencemaran Nama Baik*. 13(2), 179–194.
- Mulia, Y. (2016). 済無No Title No Title No Title. 1–23.
- Nurul Hakiki Nofi Syahfani. (2021). *Studi Komparatif Sanksi Tindak Pidana Cybersex (Video Call Sex) Ditinjau*. http://digilib.uinkhas.ac.id/6053/1/Nurul_Hakiki_Nofi_Syahfani_S20174006.pdf
- Pokhrel, S. (2024). No TitleEAENH. In *Ayan* (Vol. 15, Issue 1).
- Rakhmawati, D., Herlina, N., & Alissa, E. (2022). Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Sebagai Korban Eksploitasi Ekonomi Di Kota Jambi. *Jurnal Sains Sosio Humaniora*, 6(1), 1136–1151. <https://doi.org/10.22437/jssh.v6i1.23055>
- Romandanti, N. W., & Sahid, U. (2023). *Penyebarluasan Video Pribadi Yang Mengandung Pornografi Melalui Media*. 5(2), 44–56.
- Sarumaha, S. (2022). *Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Terhadap Pelaku Penyebaran Video Porno Melalui Sosial Media Whatshapp (Studi Putusan Nomor: 2661/Pid. Sus/2020/Pn Mdn)*. <https://repositori.uma.ac.id/handle/123456789/18423>
- SHELEMO, A. A. (2023). No Titleبليب. In *Nucl. Phys.* (Vol. 13, Issue 1).
- Siregar, G. T. ., & Sihite, I. P. S. (2020). Penegakan Hukum Pidana Bagi Pelaku Penyebar Konten Pornografi Di Media Sosial Ditinjau Dari Undang-Undang Informasi Dan Transaksi Elektronik. *JURNAL RECTUM: Tinjauan Yuridis Penanganan Tindak Pidana*, 3(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.46930/jurnalrectum.v3i1.762>
- Sujamawardi, L. H. (2018). Analisis Yuridis Pasal 27 Ayat (1) Undang-Undang Nomor 19 Tahun 2016 Tentang Perubahan Atas Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 Tentang Informasi Dan Transaksi Elektronik. *Dialogia Iuridica: Jurnal Hukum Bisnis Dan Investasi*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.28932/di.v9i2.974>
- Udayana, I. G. P., I Made Minggu Widyantara, & Ni Made Sukaryati Karma. (2022). Penyalahgunaan Aplikasi Media Sosial sebagai Eksploitasi dalam Tindak Pidana Pornografi. *Jurnal Konstruksi Hukum*, 3(2), 438–443. <https://doi.org/10.55637/jkh.3.2.4852.438-443>
- Undang, B., Ite, U., & Ratnasari, O. D. (2025). “ *Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Korban Pemerasan Dalam Kasus Vidio Call Seks*. 13(1).